IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States.

OCTOBER TERM, 1900.

W. P. McFADDEN, PLAINTIFF IN ERROR, v. EVANS-SNYDER-BUEL COMPANY, DEFENDANT IN ERROR.	No. 520.

Motion to Advance.

Come now the plaintiff and defendant in error and move the Honorable Court to advance this cause upon the docket, and hear it at as early day as the convenience of the Court will permit; and for the reasons therefor, they state: The case involves a large sum of money, and there are a number of cases in the Indian Territory depending upon the decision in this case, and it is important to have the law of the case settled at the earliest moment; the case was submitted under Rule 20, upon printed briefs, but was resorted to the docket by the Court for oral argument. This case has been heard and decided by the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, and is one of the very few cases that can be taken from that court to the Supreme Court.

Respectfully submitted.

WILLIAM M. SPRINGER,

For Plaintiff and Defendant in Error.

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States.

OCTOBER TERM, 1900.

W. P. McFADDEN,
PLAINTIFF IN ERROR,

v.

EVANS-SNYDER-BUEL COMPANY,
DEFENDANT IN ERROR.

No. 520.

Writ of Error to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit.

Stipulation to Advance.

Come the plaintiff and defendant in error, and beg leave to suggest to the Court that as this case has been submitted under the 20th Rule and was afterwards restored to the docket, subject to call, both parties request, if consistent with the business of the Court, that the case may be set down for oral argument at such day during the coming month of April as to the Court may seem proper.

Very respectfully,

WILLIAM T. HUTCHINGS,
N. B. MAXEY,
For Plaintiff in Error.
H. M. POLLARD,
U. M. ROSE,
For Defendant in Error.